

1
2
3 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
4 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
5 OAKLAND DIVISION

6 CELLSPIN SOFT, INC.

7 Plaintiff,

8 v.

9 GOPRO, INC.,

10 Defendant.

Case No. 17-cv-05939-YGR

**[PROPOSED] JUDGMENT
PURSUANT TO ORDER RE:
OMNIBUS MOTION TO DISMISS**

11
12 This action having come before the Court, and pursuant to the Court's Order (Dkt. No. 70)
13 granting Defendant GoPro, Inc.'s ("GoPro") Motion to Dismiss (Dkt. No. 31) finding all asserted
14 claims of U.S. Patent Nos. 8,738,794 (the "'794 Patent"), 8,892,752 (the "'752 Patent"), 9,749,847
15 (the "'847 Patent") and 9,258,698 ("the 698 Patent") directed to patent-ineligible subject matter and
16 therefore invalid pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 101—IT IS HEREBY ADJUDGED AND ORDERED
17 that:

18 For the reasons set forth in the Court's Order on April 3, 2018 (Dkt. No. 70), claims 1–4, 7,
19 9, 16–18, and 20–21 from the '794 Patent, claims 1, 2, 4, 5, and 12–14 from the '752 Patent, claims
20 1-3 from the '847 Patent, and claims 1, 3, 4, 5, 7, 8, 10, 11, 12, 13, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, and 20 from
21 the '698 Patent are invalid pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 101.

22 The foregoing claims of the '698 Patent represent all pending claims at issue in this case.

23 WHEREFORE JUDGMENT is entered in this case in favor of Defendant GoPro and against
24 Plaintiff Cellspin Soft, Inc., rendering GoPro the prevailing party in the above-captioned action.

25
26 Dated: April 10, 2018


YVONNE GONZALEZ ROGERS
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE